1	SENTENCING IN CAPITAL CASES
2	AMENDMENTS
3	2003 GENERAL SESSION
4	STATE OF UTAH
5	Sponsor: David L. Gladwell
6	This act modifies the Criminal Code by providing that persons found by the court to be
7	mentally retarded are not subject to the death penalty. This act defines mental
8	retardation as applicable to death penalty cases. This act specifies procedures for the
9	examination of defendants alleging mental retardation and procedures for the judicial
10	hearing to determine mental retardation. This act is in response to the recent U.S.
11	Supreme Court case Atkins v. Virginia which prohibits execution of the mentally
12	retarded. This act also provides that defendants with specified subaverage functioning
13	are not subject to the death penalty if the defendant's confession is not substantially
14	corroborated. This act has an immediate effective date.
15	This act affects sections of Utah Code Annotated 1953 as follows:
16	AMENDS:
17	62A-1-108.5 , as enacted by Chapter 166, Laws of Utah 1991
18	76-2-305, as last amended by Chapter 2, Laws of Utah 1999
19	76-3-207, as last amended by Chapters 24 and 26, Laws of Utah 2002
20	77-18a-1, as last amended by Chapter 364, Laws of Utah 1997
21	ENACTS:
22	77-15a-101 , Utah Code Annotated 1953
23	77-15a-102 , Utah Code Annotated 1953
24	77-15a-103 , Utah Code Annotated 1953
25	77-15a-104 , Utah Code Annotated 1953
26	77-15a-105 , Utah Code Annotated 1953
27	77-15a-106 . Utah Code Annotated 1953



28	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:				
29	Section 1. Section 62A-1-108.5 is amended to read:				
30	62A-1-108.5. Mental illness and mental retardation examinations				
31	Responsibilities of the department.				
32	(1) In accomplishing its duties to conduct mental illness and mental retardation				
33	examinations under Title 77, <u>Utah Code of Criminal Procedure</u> , the department shall proceed				
34	as outlined in this section and within appropriations authorized by the Legislature. The				
35	executive director may delegate his responsibilities under this section to one or more divisions				
36	within the department.				
37	(2) When the department is ordered by the court to conduct a mental illness or mental				
38	retardation examination, the executive director shall:				
39	(a) direct that the examination be performed at the Utah State Hospital; or				
40	(b) designate at least one examiner, selected under Subsection (3), to examine the				
41	defendant in his current custody or status.				
42	(3) The department shall establish criteria, in consultation with the Commission on				
43	Criminal and Juvenile Justice, and shall contract with persons or organizations to conduct				
44	mental illness and mental retardation examinations under Subsection (2)(b). In making this				
45	selection, the department shall follow the provisions of Title 63, Chapter 56, Utah Procurement				
46	Code.				
47	(4) Nothing in this section prohibits the executive director, at the request of defense				
48	counsel or a prosecuting attorney in a criminal proceeding under Title 77, Utah Code of				
49	Criminal Procedure, and for good cause shown, from proposing a person who has not been				
50	previously selected under Subsection (3) to contract with the department to conduct the				
51	examination. In selecting that person, the criteria of the department established under				
52	Subsection (3) and the provisions of Title 63, Chapter 56, Utah Procurement Code, shall be				
53	met.				
54	Section 2. Section 76-2-305 is amended to read:				
55	76-2-305. Mental illness Use as a defense Influence of alcohol or other				
56	substance voluntarily consumed Definition.				
57	(1) (a) It is a defense to a prosecution under any statute or ordinance that the defendant,				
58	as a result of mental illness, lacked the mental state required as an element of the offense				

59 charged.

(b) Mental illness is not otherwise a defense, but may be evidence in mitigation of the penalty in a capital felony under Section 76-3-207 and may be evidence of special mitigation reducing the level of a criminal homicide or attempted criminal homicide offense under Section 76-5-205.5.

- (2) The defense defined in this section includes the defenses known as "insanity" and "diminished mental capacity."
- (3) A person who asserts a defense of insanity or diminished mental capacity, and who is under the influence of voluntarily consumed, injected, or ingested alcohol, controlled substances, or volatile substances at the time of the alleged offense is not excused from criminal responsibility on the basis of mental illness if the alcohol or substance caused, triggered, or substantially contributed to the mental illness.
- (4) (a) "Mental illness" means a mental disease or defect that substantially impairs a person's mental, emotional, or behavioral functioning. A mental defect may be a congenital condition, the result of injury, or a residual effect of a physical or mental disease and includes, but is not limited to, mental retardation.
- (b) "Mental illness" does not mean[: (i) a personality or character disorder; or (ii)] an abnormality manifested primarily by repeated criminal conduct.
- (5) "Mental retardation" means a significant subaverage general intellectual functioning, existing concurrently with deficits in adaptive behavior, and manifested [during the developmental period as defined by the current Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of the American Psychiatric Association] prior to age 22.
 - Section 3. Section **76-3-207** is amended to read:

76-3-207. Capital felony -- Sentencing proceeding.

- (1) (a) When a defendant has pled guilty to or been found guilty of a capital felony, there shall be further proceedings before the court or jury on the issue of sentence.
- (b) In the case of a plea of guilty to a capital felony, the sentencing proceedings shall be conducted before a jury or, upon request of the defendant and with the approval of the court and the consent of the prosecution, by the court which accepted the plea.
- (c) (i) When a defendant has been found guilty of a capital felony, the proceedings shall be conducted before the court or jury which found the defendant guilty, provided the

defendant may waive hearing before the jury with the approval of the court and the consent of the prosecution, in which event the hearing shall be before the court.

- (ii) If[, however,] circumstances make it impossible or impractical to reconvene the same jury for the sentencing proceedings, the court may dismiss that jury and convene a new jury for the proceedings.
- (d) If a retrial of the sentencing proceedings is necessary as a consequence of a remand from an appellate court, the sentencing authority shall be determined as provided in Subsection (6).
 - (2) (a) In capital sentencing proceedings, evidence may be presented on:
 - (i) the nature and circumstances of the crime;

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

- (ii) the defendant's character, background, history, and mental and physical condition;
- (iii) the victim and the impact of the crime on the victim's family and community without comparison to other persons or victims; and
- (iv) any other facts in aggravation or mitigation of the penalty that the court considers relevant to the sentence.
- (b) Any evidence the court considers to have probative force may be received regardless of its admissibility under the exclusionary rules of evidence. The state's attorney and the defendant shall be permitted to present argument for or against the sentence of death.
 - (3) Aggravating circumstances include those outlined in Section 76-5-202.
 - (4) Mitigating circumstances include:
 - (a) the defendant has no significant history of prior criminal activity;
- (b) the homicide was committed while the defendant was under the influence of mental or emotional disturbance;
 - (c) the defendant acted under duress or under the domination of another person;
- (d) [(i)] at the time of the homicide, the capacity of the defendant to appreciate the wrongfulness of his conduct or to conform his conduct to the requirement of law was impaired as a result of <u>a</u> mental [illness or mental retardation] condition, intoxication, or influence of drugs[; and], except that "mental condition" under this Subsection (4)(d) does not mean an abnormality manifested primarily by repeated criminal conduct;
 - (ii) as used in Subsection (4)(d)(i):
- 120 [(A) "mental illness" has the same definition as in Section 76-2-305; and]

[(B) "mental retardation" means a significant subaverage general intellectual functioning, existing concurrently with deficits in adaptive behavior;

- (e) the youth of the defendant at the time of the crime;
- (f) the defendant was an accomplice in the homicide committed by another person and the defendant's participation was relatively minor; and
 - (g) any other fact in mitigation of the penalty.

- (5) (a) The court or jury, as the case may be, shall retire to consider the penalty. Except as provided in Subsection 76-3-207.5(2), in all proceedings before a jury, under this section, it shall be instructed as to the punishment to be imposed upon a unanimous decision for death and that the penalty of either an indeterminate prison term of not less than 20 years and which may be for life or life in prison without parole, shall be imposed if a unanimous decision for death is not found.
- (b) The death penalty shall only be imposed if, after considering the totality of the aggravating and mitigating circumstances, the jury is persuaded beyond a reasonable doubt that total aggravation outweighs total mitigation, and is further persuaded, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the imposition of the death penalty is justified and appropriate in the circumstances. If the jury reports unanimous agreement to impose the sentence of death, the court shall discharge the jury and shall impose the sentence of death.
- (c) If the jury is unable to reach a unanimous decision imposing the sentence of death or the state is not seeking the death penalty, the jury shall then determine whether the penalty of life in prison without parole shall be imposed, except as provided in Subsection 76-3-207.5(2). The penalty of life in prison without parole shall only be imposed if the jury determines that the sentence of life in prison without parole is appropriate. If the jury reports agreement by ten jurors or more to impose the sentence of life in prison without parole, the court shall discharge the jury and shall impose the sentence of life in prison without parole. If ten jurors or more do not agree upon a sentence of life in prison without parole, the court shall discharge the jury and impose an indeterminate prison term of not less than 20 years and which may be for life.
- (d) If the defendant waives hearing before the jury as to sentencing, with the approval of the court and the consent of the prosecution, the court shall determine the appropriate penalty according to the standards of Subsections (5)(b) and (c).

(e) If the defendant is sentenced to more than one term of life in prison with or without the possibility of parole, or in addition to a sentence of life in prison with or without the possibility of parole the defendant is sentenced for other offenses which result in terms of imprisonment, the judge shall determine whether the terms of imprisonment shall be imposed as concurrent or consecutive sentences in accordance with Section 76-3-401.

- (6) Upon any appeal by the defendant where the sentence is of death, the appellate court, if it finds prejudicial error in the sentencing proceeding only, may set aside the sentence of death and remand the case to the trial court for new sentencing proceedings to the extent necessary to correct the error or errors. An error in the sentencing proceedings may not result in the reversal of the conviction of a capital felony. In cases of remand for new sentencing proceedings, all exhibits and a transcript of all testimony and other evidence properly admitted in the prior trial and sentencing proceedings are admissible in the new sentencing proceedings, and if the sentencing proceeding was before a:
- (a) jury, a new jury shall be impaneled for the new sentencing proceeding unless the defendant waives the hearing before the jury with the approval of the court and the consent of the prosecution, in which case the proceeding shall be held according to Subsection (6)(b) or (c), as applicable;
 - (b) judge, the original trial judge shall conduct the new sentencing proceeding; or
- (c) judge, and the original trial judge is unable or unavailable to conduct a new sentencing proceeding, then another judge shall be designated to conduct the new sentencing proceeding, and the new proceeding will be before a jury unless the defendant waives the hearing before the jury with the approval of the court and the consent of the prosecution.
- (7) In the event the death penalty is held to be unconstitutional by the Utah Supreme Court or the United States Supreme Court, the court having jurisdiction over a person previously sentenced to death for a capital felony shall cause the person to be brought before the court, and the court shall sentence the person to:
- (a) an indeterminate prison term of not less than 20 years and which may be for life, if the death penalty is held unconstitutional prior to April 27, 1992; or
- (b) life in prison without parole if the death penalty is held unconstitutional on or after April 27, 1992, and any person who is thereafter convicted of a capital felony shall be sentenced to an indeterminate prison term of not less than 20 years and which may be for life or

183	life in prison without parole.				
184	(8) \$ (a) \$ If the appellate court's final decision regarding any appeal of a sentence of death				
185	precludes the imposition of the death penalty due to mental retardation or subaverage general				
186	intellectual functioning under Section 77-15a-101, the court having jurisdiction over a				
187	defendant previously sentenced to death for a capital felony shall cause the defendant to be				
188	brought before the \$\frac{\sqrt{SENTENCING}}{\sqrt{\sqrt{s}}}\frac{\cdot{court}}{\sqrt{and}} the court shall sentence the defendant to life in				
188a	prison without				
189	parole.				
189a	§ (b) IF THE APPELLATE COURT PRECLUDES THE IMPOSITION OF THE DEATH PENALTY UNDER				
189b	SUBSECTION (8)(a), BUT THE APPELLATE COURT FINDS THAT SENTENCING THE DEFENDANT TO				
189c	LIFE IN PRISON WITHOUT PAROLE IS LIKELY TO RESULT IN A MANIFEST INJUSTICE, IT MAY				
189d	REMAND THE CASE TO THE SENTENCING COURT FOR FURTHER SENTENCING PROCEEDINGS TO				
189e 189f	<u>DETERMINE IF THE DEFENDANT SHOULD SERVE A SENTENCE OF LIFE IN PRISON WITHOUT</u> PAROLE OR AN INDETERMINATE PRISON TERM OF NOT LESS THAN 20 YEARS AND WHICH MAY BE				
189g	FOR LIFE. §				
190	Section 4. Section 77-15a-101 is enacted to read:				
191	CHAPTER 15a. EXEMPTIONS FROM DEATH PENALTY IN CAPITAL CASES				
192	77-15a-101. Mentally retarded defendant not subject to death penalty				
193	Defendant with subaverage functioning not subject to death penalty if confession not				
194	corroborated.				
195	(1) A defendant who is found by the court to be mentally retarded as defined in Section				
196	77-15a-102 is not subject to the death penalty.				
197	(2) A defendant who does not meet the definition of mental retardation under Section				
198	77-15a-102 is not subject to the death penalty if:				
199	(a) the defendant has significantly subaverage general intellectual functioning that				
200	exists concurrently with significant deficiencies in adaptive functioning;				
201	(b) the functioning described in Subsection (2)(a) is manifested prior to age 22; and				
202	(c) the state intends to introduce into evidence a confession by the defendant which is				
203	not supported by substantial evidence independent of the confession.				
204	Section 5. Section 77-15a-102 is enacted to read:				
205	77-15a-102. "Mentally retarded" defined.				
206	As used in this chapter, a defendant is "mentally retarded" if:				
207	(1) the defendant has significant subaverage general intellectual functioning that results				
208	in and exists concurrently with significant deficiencies in adaptive functioning that exist				
209	primarily in the areas of reasoning or impulse control, or in both of these areas; and				
210	(2) the subaverage general intellectual functioning and the significant deficiencies in				
211	adaptive functioning under Subsection (1) are both manifested prior to age 22.				
212	Section 6. Section 77-15a-103 is enacted to read:				
213	77-15a-103. Court may raise issue of mental retardation at any time.				

214 The court in which a capital charge is pending may raise the issue of the defendant's 215 mental retardation at any time. If raised by the court, counsel for each party shall be allowed to 216 address the issue of mental retardation. 217 Section 7. Section 77-15a-104 is enacted to read: 218 77-15a-104. Hearing -- Stay of proceeding -- Examinations of defendant -- Scope 219 of examination -- Report -- Procedures. 220 (1) (a) If a defendant proposes to offer evidence concerning or argue that he qualifies 221 for an exemption from the death penalty under Subsection 77-15a-101(1) or (2), the defendant 222 shall file and serve the prosecuting attorney with written notice of his intention as soon as 223 practicable, but not fewer than 60 days before trial. 224 (b) If the defendant wishes to claim the exemption provided in Subsection 225 77-15a-101(2), the defendant shall file and serve the prosecuting attorney with written notice of 226 his intention as soon as practicable, but not fewer than 60 days before trial. 227 (2) When notice is given under Subsection (1), the court raises the issue, or a motion is 228 filed regarding Section 77-15a-101, the court may stay all proceedings in order to address the 229 issue. 230 (3) (a) The court shall order the Department of Human Services to appoint at least two 231 mental health experts to examine the defendant and report to the court. The experts: 232 (i) may not be involved in the current treatment of the defendant; and 233 (ii) shall have expertise in mental retardation assessment. 234 (b) Upon appointment of the experts, the defendant or other party as directed by the 235 court shall provide information and materials to the examiners relevant to a determination of 236 the defendant's mental retardation, including copies of the charging document, arrest or 237 incident reports pertaining to the charged offense, known criminal history information, and 238 known prior mental health evaluations and treatments. 239 (c) The court may make the necessary orders to provide the information listed in 240 Subsection (3)(b) to the examiners. 241 (d) The court may provide in its order appointing the examiners that custodians of 242 mental health records pertaining to the defendant shall provide those records to the examiners 243 without the need for consent of the defendant or further order of the court.

(e) Prior to examining the defendant, examiners shall specifically advise the defendant

245	of the limits of confidentiality as provided under Section 77-15a-106.				
246	(4) During any examinations under Subsection (3), unless the court directs otherwise,				
247	the defendant shall be retained in the same custody or status he was in at the time the				
248	examination was ordered.				
249	(5) The experts shall in the conduct of their examinations and in their reports to the				
250	court consider and address:				
251	(a) whether the defendant is mentally retarded as defined in Section 77-15a-102;				
252	(b) the degree of any mental retardation the expert finds to exist;				
253	(c) whether the defendant has the mental deficiencies specified in Subsection				
254	77-15a-101(2); and				
255	(d) the degree of any mental deficiencies the expert finds to exist.				
256	(6) (a) The experts examining the defendant shall provide written reports to the court,				
257	the prosecution, and the defense within 60 days of the receipt of the court's order, unless the				
258	expert submits to the court a written request for additional time in accordance with Subsection				
259	<u>(6)(c).</u>				
260	(b) The reports shall provide to the court and to prosecution and defense counsel the				
261	examiners' written opinions concerning the mental retardation of the defendant.				
262	(c) If an examiner requests of the court additional time, the examiner shall provide the				
263	report to the court and counsel within 90 days from the receipt of the court's order unless, for				
264	good cause shown, the court authorizes an additional period of time to complete the				
265	examination and provide the report.				
266	(7) Any written report submitted by an expert shall:				
267	(a) identify the specific matters referred for evaluation;				
268	(b) describe the procedures, techniques, and tests used in the examination and the				
269	purpose or purposes for each;				
270	(c) state the expert's clinical observations, findings, and opinions; and				
271	(d) identify the sources of information used by the expert and present the basis for the				
272	expert's clinical findings and opinions.				
273	(8) Within 30 days after receipt of the report from the Department of Human Services,				
274	but not later than five days before hearing, or at any other time the court directs, the				
275	prosecuting attorney shall file and serve upon the defendant a notice of witnesses the				

276 prosecuting attorney proposes to call in rebuttal.

- 277 (9) (a) Except pursuant to Section 77-15a-105, this chapter does not prevent any party
 278 from producing any other testimony as to the mental condition of the defendant.
 - (b) Expert witnesses who are not appointed by the court are not entitled to compensation under Subsection (10).
 - (10) (a) Expenses of examinations of the defendant ordered by the court under this section shall be paid by the Department of Human Services.
 - (b) Travel expenses associated with any court-ordered examination that are incurred by the defendant shall be charged by the Department of Human Services to the county where prosecution is commenced.
 - (11) (a) When the report is received, the court shall set a date for a hearing to determine if the exemption under Section 77-15a-101 applies. The hearing shall be held and the judge shall make the determination within a reasonable time prior to jury selection.
 - (b) Prosecution and defense counsel may subpoen to testify at the hearing any person or organization appointed by the Department of Human Services to conduct the examination and any independent examiner.
 - (c) The court may call any examiner to testify at the hearing who is not called by the parties. If the court calls an examiner, counsel for the parties may cross-examine that examiner.
 - (12) (a) A defendant is presumed to be not mentally retarded unless the court, by a preponderance of the evidence, finds the defendant to be mentally retarded. The burden of proof is upon the proponent of mental retardation at the hearing.
 - (b) A finding of mental retardation does not operate as an adjudication of mental retardation for any purpose other than exempting the person from a sentence of death in the case before the court.
 - (13) (a) The defendant is presumed not to possess the mental deficiencies listed in Subsection 77-15a-101(2) unless the court, by a preponderance of the evidence, finds that the defendant has significant subaverage general intellectual functioning that exists concurrently with significant deficiencies in adaptive functioning and that this functioning was manifested prior to age 22. The burden of proof is upon the proponent of that proposition.
 - (b) If the court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant has

307	significant subaverage general intellectual functioning that exists concurrently with significant
308	deficiencies in adaptive functioning and that this functioning was manifested prior to age 22,
309	then the burden is upon the state to establish that any confession by the defendant which the
310	state intends to introduce into evidence is supported by substantial evidence independent of the
311	confession.
312	(14) (a) If the court finds the defendant mentally retarded, it shall issue an order:
313	(i) containing findings of fact and conclusions of law, and addressing each of the
314	factors in Subsections (5)(a) and (b); and
315	(ii) stating that the death penalty is not a sentencing option in the case before the court.
316	(b) If the court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that § THE DEFENDANT
316a	POSSESSES THE MENTAL DEFICIENCIES LISTED IN SUBSECTION 77-15a-101(2) AND THAT § the
316b	state fails to establish
317	that any confession is supported by substantial evidence independent of the confession, the
318	state may proceed with its case and:
319	(i) introduce the confession into evidence, and the death penalty will not be a
320	sentencing option in the case; or
321	(ii) not introduce into evidence any confession or the fruits of a confession that the
322	court has found is not supported by substantial evidence independent of the confession, and the
323	death penalty will be a sentencing option in the case.
324	(c) (i) A finding by the court regarding whether the defendant qualifies for an
325	exemption under Section 77-15a-101 is a final determination of that issue for purposes of this
326	chapter.
327	(ii) The following questions may not be submitted to the jury by instruction, special
328	verdict, argument, or other means:
329	(A) whether the defendant is mentally retarded for purposes of this chapter; and
330	(B) whether the defendant possesses the mental deficiencies specified in Subsection
331	77-15a-101(2).
332	(iii) This chapter does not prevent the defendant from submitting evidence of
333	retardation or other mental deficiency to establish a mental condition as a mitigating
334	circumstance under Section 76-3-207.
335	(15) A ruling by the court that the defendant is exempt from the death penalty may be
336	appealed by the state pursuant to Subsection 77-18a-1(2)(h).
337	(16) Failure to comply with this section does not result in the dismissal of criminal

338	charges.
339	Section 8. Section 77-15a-105 is enacted to read:
340	77-15a-105. Defendant's wilful failure to cooperate Expert testimony regarding
341	retardation is barred.
342	(1) If the defendant files notice, raises the issue, or intends to present evidence or make
343	an argument that the defendant is exempt from the death penalty under this chapter, the
344	defendant shall make himself available and fully cooperate in any examination by mental
345	health experts appointed by the Department of Human Services and any other independent
346	examiners for the defense or the prosecution.
347	(2) If the defendant wilfully fails to make himself available and fully cooperate in the
348	examination, and that failure is established to the satisfaction of the court, the defendant is
349	barred from presenting expert testimony relating to any exemption from the death penalty
350	under this chapter.
351	Section 9. Section 77-15a-106 is enacted to read:
352	77-15a-106. Limitations on admitting mental retardation examination evidence.
353	(1) The following may not be admitted into evidence against the defendant in any
354	criminal proceeding, except as provided in Subsection (2):
355	(a) any statement made by the defendant in the course of any mental examination
356	conducted under this chapter, whether the examination is with or without the consent of the
357	defendant, and any testimony by the expert based upon the defendant's statement; and
358	(b) any other fruits of the defendant's statement under Subsection (1)(a).
359	(2) Evidence under Subsection (1) may be admitted on an issue regarding a mental
360	condition on which the defendant has introduced evidence.
361	Section 10. Section 77-18a-1 is amended to read:
362	77-18a-1. Appeals When proper.
363	(1) An appeal may be taken by the defendant from:
364	(a) the final judgment of conviction, whether by verdict or plea;
365	(b) an order made after judgment that affects the substantial rights of the defendant;
366	(c) an interlocutory order when upon petition for review the appellate court decides the
367	appeal would be in the interest of justice; or
368	(d) any order of the court judging the defendant by reason of a mental disease or defect

369 incompetent to proceed further in a pending prosecution. 370 (2) An appeal may be taken by the prosecution from: 371 (a) a final judgment of dismissal, including a dismissal of a felony information 372 following a refusal to bind the defendant over for trial; 373 (b) an order arresting judgment; 374 (c) an order terminating the prosecution because of a finding of double jeopardy or 375 denial of a speedy trial; 376 (d) a judgment of the court holding a statute or any part of it invalid; 377 (e) an order of the court granting a pretrial motion to suppress evidence when upon a petition for review the appellate court decides that the appeal would be in the interest of justice; 378 379 (f) under circumstances not amounting to a final order under Subsection (2)(a), a 380 refusal to bind the defendant over for trial on a felony as charged or a pretrial order dismissing or quashing in part a felony information, when upon a petition for review the appellate court 381 382 decides that the appeal would be in the interest of justice; [or] 383 (g) an order of the court granting a motion to withdraw a plea of guilty or no contest[-];

- (g) an order of the court granting a motion to withdraw a plea of guilty or no contest[-]: or
- (h) a finding pursuant to Title 77, Chapter 15a, Exemptions from Death Penalty in Capital Cases, that a capital defendant is exempt from a sentence of death, when upon a petition for review the appellate court decides that the appeal would be in the interest of justice.

Section 11. Effective date.

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

If approved by two-thirds of all the members elected to each house, this act takes effect upon approval by the governor, or the day following the constitutional time limit of Utah Constitution Article VII, Section 8, without the governor's signature, or in the case of a veto, the date of veto override.

Legislative Review Note as of 9-30-02 10:44 AM

A limited legal review of this legislation raises no obvious constitutional or statutory concerns.

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel

Interim Committee Note

as of 12-12-02 1:37 PM

The Judiciary Interim Committee recommended this bill.

Fiscal Note	Sentencing in Capital Cases Amendments	23-Jan-03
Bill Number: SB0008		10:55 AM

This bill requires an ongoing appropriation of \$3,900 General Fund to the Department of Human Services for expenses, including evaluations and court time costs. This funding will start as a supplemental appropriation in FY 2003. Other costs can be absorbed within existing budgets. Estimated costs are based on one potential case per year.

		FY 03 Approp.	FY 03 Revenue	FY 04 Approp.	FY 04 Revenue	FY 05 Approp.	FY 05 Revenue
General Fund		\$3,900	\$0	\$3,900	\$0	\$3,900	\$0
	TOTAL	\$3,900	\$0	\$3,900	\$0	\$3,900	\$0

Individual and Business Impact

No fiscal impact.

Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst